percentage and corresponding dollar goals.

3. Participating contractors shall also enter separate in Item 14 the percentage and corresponding dollar goals for each of the two selected industry categories (see paragraph IV(A)(2)).

4. Participating contractors shall also enter separately in Item 14 on a semiannual cumulative basis the percentage and corresponding dollar amount of subcontract awards made in each of the two selected industry categories.

5. Participating contractors shall be exempt from the completion of SF 294 "Subcontract Report For Individual Contracts" for DoD contracts during their participation in the Program.

[FR Doc. 96-19414 Filed 7-30-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board will meet in closed session on August 5–16, 1996 at the Beckman Center, Irvine, California.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Acquisition on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At that time the Board will examine the substance, interrelationships, and the US national security implications of three critical areas identified and tasked to the Board by the Secretary of Defense, Deputy Secretary of Defense, and Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology. The subject areas are: Achieving and Innovative Support Structure to Enhance Early 21st Century Military Operations; and Tactics and Technology for 21st Century Military Superiority. The period of study is anticipated to culminate in the formulation of specific recommendations to be submitted to the Secretary of Defense, via the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology, for his consideration in determining resource policies, shortand long-range plans, and in shaping appropriate implementing actions as they may affect the U.S. national defense posture.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. No. 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1988)), it has been determined that this DSB meeting, concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) (1988), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: July 25, 1996.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96-19450 Filed 7-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

Defense Science Board Task Force on Anti-Personnel Landmine Alternatives, Landmine Detection and Demining, and Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) Clearance Operations

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee Meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Anti-Personnel Landmine Alternatives, Landmine Detection and Demining, and Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) Clearance Operations will meet in closed session on July 30–31, 1996 at Strategic Analysis, Inc., Arlington, Virginia. In order for the Task Force to obtain time sensitive classified briefings, critical to the understanding of the issues, this meeting is scheduled on short notice. The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will examine US landmine, landmine detection and demining efforts, and alternatives to anti-personnel landmines. It will also examine UXO remediation, active range UXO clearance, and explosive ordnance disposal (EOD) efforts. It will include in this examination, the relationship between the UXO/EOD detection/ characterization/clearance and neutralization issues and landmine detection/neutralization issues. In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. No. 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1994)), it has been determined that this DSB Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) (1994), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: July 25, 1996.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96-19449 Filed 7-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Resolution of Potential Conflict of Interest

The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (Board) has identified and resolved potential conflicts of interest situations related to its proposed contractor, MPR Associates, Incorporated (MPR). This Notice, which is a summary of the facts related to this decision, satisfies the requirements of 10 CFR 1706.8(e) with respect to publication in the Federal Register. Under the Board's Organizational and **Consultant Conflicts of Interest** Regulation, 10 CFR Part 1706 (OCI Regulations), an organizational or consultant conflict of interest (OCI) means that because of other past, present or future planned activities or relationships, a contractor or consultant is unable, or potentially unable, to render impartial assistance or advice to the Board, or the objectivity of such offeror or contractor in performing work for the Board is or might be otherwise impaired, or such offeror or contractor has or would have an unfair competitive advantage. While the OCI Regulations provide that contracts shall generally not be awarded to an organization where the Board has determined that an actual or potential OCI exists and cannot be avoided, the Board may waive this requirement in certain circumstances.

The Board is tasked with the responsibility of overseeing the safe operation of the Department of Energy's (DOE's) defense nuclear facilities in order to ensure that the health and safety of the workers and the general public are adequately protected. One such facility is the Savannah River Site, which operates an In-Tank Precipitation (ITP) facility that provides highly radioactive material to the Defense Waste Processing Facility for conversion into vitrified logs for long-term storage.

The Board has become aware of a potential health and safety matter at the Savannah River Site involving the ITP facility. Specifically, the ITP chemical process results in the generation of benzene in solution in an unpredictable manner. Furthermore, the benzene, a flammable substance, is released from the solution at an anomalous rate. These unpredictable phenomena could be due to catalysts, radioactive hydrolysis, turbulence, or other factors. Of overriding concern to the Board is that the result of these phenomena, in combination with oxygen intrusion, creates the potential for a deflagration or explosion of the vapor within the tank